```
1
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
                   EASTERN DIVISION
 3
     IN RE: NATIONAL
     PRESCRIPTION
                             ) MDL No. 2804
     OPIATE LITIGATION
 5
                             ) Case No.
                                1:17-MD-2804
 6
     THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ) Hon. Dan A.
                             ) Polster
     TO ALL CASES
 8
                 FRIDAY, JULY 13, 2018
 9
      HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
10
                CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
               Videotaped deposition of Shirlene
13
    Justus, held at the offices of BakerHostetler
    LLP, 200 South Civic Center Drive, Columbus,
14
15
    Ohio, commencing at 9:05 a.m., on the above
    date, before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered
16
17
    Diplomate Reporter and Certified Realtime
18
    Reporter.
19
20
21
22
              GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
23
           877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                    deps@golkow.com
24
25
```

```
that it should not happen.
 1
 2.
                  MR. FULLER: Evan, let's go to
 3
           macro number 2.
 4
    QUESTIONS BY MR. FULLER:
 5
                  This is the suspicious order
           Q.
    reporting but this time for a Skilled Cared
 6
 7
    Pharmacy.
 8
                  Do you see that?
 9
           Α.
                  I do.
10
           Q.
                  And then the order is for the
11
    Skilled Care Pharmacy, same address, same
12
    customer DEA number, right?
13
                  Yes, I agree. Yes.
           Α.
                  MR. FULLER: If you move to the
14
15
           right for me.
16
    QUESTIONS BY MR. FULLER:
17
                  And the date of the suspicious
           0.
18
    order report or overage is February 14th, and
    then product from the same drug family was
19
20
     shipped on the 18th and 22nd.
21
                  Do you see that?
22
                  I do.
           Α.
               Now, you would expect to see
23
           Ο.
    some sort of explanation again in the
24
25
    diligence file; is that right?
```

```
1
                  MS. WICHT: Object to the form
           of the question and no foundation.
 2.
                  THE WITNESS: It should be
 3
 4
           there.
 5
    QUESTIONS BY MR. FULLER:
 6
           Ο.
                  Okay. And was that your
    standard practice?
 7
                  If you were going to change a
 8
    threshold or if you were going to clear
 9
10
    something or allow future shipments to go
11
    through, you would have documented your
12
    reasoning behind that, why you were doing
13
    that?
14
                  MS. WICHT: Object to the form
15
           of the question.
16
    QUESTIONS BY MR. FULLER:
17
           Q.
                 Correct?
18
                  I should have, yes.
           Α.
19
                  That was your normal procedure?
           Q.
20
                  Yes, that would have been what
           Α.
21
    I should have done, yes.
22
                  Okay. And you -- sitting here
           Q.
23
    today, you don't know whether you did or
24
    didn't?
25
                  I have no idea whether I did or
           Α.
```